



IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.



③

Chh

W.P. 356 /2002.

(Under Article 14, 226 Constitution of India).

PETITIONER

- Arjun Singh Yadav s/o late Shri
Hari Prasad Yadav, aged about 25 years,
R/o Cr. No. 7/12, SAF Line Near NGO Club SAF
1st Batallian, Bhilai, Durg,
District Durg Chhattisgarh.

P.R. No. 355
Presented by Shri. Arjun Singh
dated 13.12.2002

VERSUS.

RESPONDENTS

- (1) State of Chhattisgarh
Through Chief Secretary
Govt. of Chhattisgarh,
RAIPUR.
- (2) Director General of Police
State of Chhattisgarh,
Police Head Quarter at Raipur Chhattisgarh.
- (3) Superintendent of Police,
Durg Chhattisgarh.
- (4) State of Madhya Pradesh
Through Chief Secretary,
Bhopal (M.P.).
- (5) Director General of Police
Govt. of Madhya Pradesh
Police Head Quarter, Bhopal.


OPY.

707
BILASPUR



सिंह यादव

contd...2



(6) Inspector General of Police,
Raipur Range,
State of Chhattisgarh, RAIPUR.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 16, 226 CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT FOR COMPASSIONATE
APPOINTMENT IN THE POST OF CONSTABLE POLICE TO THE
SON OF DECEASED HEAD CONSTABLE LATE SHRI HARI SINGH

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR**SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J****WRIT PETITION NO. 356 OF 2002****Petitioner****Arjun Singh Yadav****Versus****Respondents****State of C.G. & Others**

Present :- Shri Amiyakant Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Sunil Otmani, Panel Lawyer, for the State.

ORAL ORDER**(Passed on 10th May, 2006)**

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that the concerned department of the Government is considering the case of the petitioner which is the subject matter of the dispute in the present petition, to which learned counsel appearing for the State has no objection.

In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition stands disposed of. In the event, the case of the petitioner is under consideration, the same may be considered and disposed of within a reasonable period preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt/ production of the copy of this order.

Consequently, I.A. Nos.806/2006 and 4761/2005 also stand disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

GOWRI